UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,366	09/08/2003	Anthony J. Baerlocher	0112300-1630	9500
	7590 06/25/2007 & LLOYD LLP		EXAM	INER
P.O. Box 1135			MOSSER, F	ROBERT E
CHICAGO, IL	60690		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
	•			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENTS@BELLBOYD.COM

	H H		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/657,366	BAERLOCHER, A	NTHONY J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert Mosser	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	V 10 0ET TO EVDIDE 2	MONTH/S) OD THIDTY (2	0) DAVO
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MG a. cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		tters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		•	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	.		
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have bee	n received in this National	Stage
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.	
	·		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of	Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	6) Other:	·	

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :1/05, 2/05, 3/05, 12/06, 4/07.

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) entered on January 11th, 2005;

February 10th, 2005, March 21st, 2006, July 20th, 2006, December 12th, 2006, and April 11th, 2007, have been considered by the Examiner. A copy of each respective statement including the Examiner's notation is attached for the Applicant's records.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims **46-48** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically claim 46 step (e) sets forth that every convertible symbol of said previous game play is a flanking symbol in a subsequent game play, however as the prior steps of the same claim convert the convertible symbols into flanking symbols, there are no convertible symbols remaining after the conversion to define flanking symbols in a subsequent game play. Accordingly it is unclear how the Applicant intendeds for this step to relate to the claimed invention presented in the remainder of the claim.

Claims 47 and 48 fall through dependency on claim 46.

Art Unit: 3714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-27, 30-31, 34, 37-40, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gomez et al (US 7,077,745).

Claims 1-9, 11, 13-23, 27, 30, and 34: Gomez teaches a slot machine win completion feature including:

- a plurality of reels (Figure 3);
- a game operable upon a wager by a player(Abstract);
- a plurality of symbols including Flanking symbols (Figure 4b Element 30), and non-flanking symbols wherein the non-flanking symbols further comprise a plurality of similar or non-similar convertible (Figure 4b, Element 32, & Col 4:42 -57) symbols and nonconvertible (Figure 4b "fish food" and "gold fi\$h") symbols; and
- a processor to cause the generation of symbols on each of said reels and when a non-flanking convertible symbol appears on the same active payline as a flanking symbol (Figure 4b payline element 28) converting the convertible symbol into a flanking

Art Unit: 3714

symbol (Figure 5, Element 34), in a manner appreciable to the player, and awarding any reward resultant of the conversion to the player (Abstract).

Claims 10, 12, 25, 26, 31, 38-40, and 43: In addition to the above the invention of Gomez further includes the ability to make the described win completion feature an optional feature that would require player action such as a max bet to enable (Col 4:32-41) as the corresponding flanking and convertible symbols would not realized when this feature is not enacted by the player, the enactment of this feature by the player is understood to be equivalent to the player designation of a flanking and/or convertible symbol as claimed.

Claims 24, and 37: In addition to the above the invention of Gomez sets forth spinning the reels (Col 3:6-23), and as best understood the Applicant's presented claim 24 sets forth steps (a) through (j) as the assignment and re-assignment of symbols to reel positions followed by a singular display step (k). Accordingly the presented claim is understood to describe the process of spinning the reels as set forth by Gomez.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3714

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 28-29, 32-33, 35-36, 41-42 and 44-45 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gomez et al (US 7,077,745). as applied to at least claims 1-27 above, and further in view of Brown et al (US 2003/0100356).

The invention of Gomez teaches the invention as taught above however is silent regarding the inclusion of an internet network, however in a related symbol conversion game Brown teaches the utilization of the internet network (*Brown* Paragraph 12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to have incorporated the use of the internet as taught by Brown in to the invention of Gomez to allow the utilization of the wagering platform for online casinos as taught by Brown.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Mosser whose telephone number is (571)-272-4451. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30 Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 3714

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000

/RM/ Tune 15th, 2007

MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER